

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/697,535 | 10/30/2003 | David T. Curiel | 678503-2001.1 | 7880 | |
| Thomas J. Kov | 7590 01/20/201 walski, Esg. | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| c/o FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUP LLP | | | EPPS -SMITH, JANET L | | |
| 745 Fifth Aver New York, NY | | | | | |
| , | | | 1633 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/20/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/697.535 CURIEL ET AL Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit

| | | Janet L. Epps-Smith | 1633 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence ad | ldress | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | | | |
| | . Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 13 July 2009. (a) A reply was received on | | | | | | | |
| (b) | A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under | 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to | the final rejection | | | | |
| | (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) | | | | | | |
| (c) | c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | | |
| (d) | ☑ No reply has been received. | | | | | | | |
| _ | Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). received on (with a Certifi | cate of Mailing or Tr | ansmission date | | | | |
| |), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | | |
| (b) | ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| | The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | he publication fee, if required by 3 | 7 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | · | | | | |
| (c) | ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | | | | | |
| 3. 🗆 A | Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the No | otice of | | | | |
| (a) | Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra | ansmission dated |), which is | | | | |
| (b) | ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the as | signee of the entire i | nterest, or all of | | | | |
| | The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repre | esentative capacity u | nder 37 CFR | | | | |
| | The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | use the period for see | eking court reviev | | | | |
| 7. 🛛 | The reason(s) below: | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant's representative Deborah Lu confirmed the application. | at the instant application was ab | pandoned in favor o | of a continuation | | | | |
| | | /Janet L. Epps-Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art Ui | nit 1633 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office